Elements of the peristyle in Campanian atria Michele George

Introduction

The atrium looms large in modern perceptions of the Roman house. Fashioned from a combination of literary accounts of Roman custom and archaeological evidence in Campania, the image of the atrium as the staid core of the domus has become axiomatic. This concept endures despite the fact that many aspects of the Campanian atrium have never been sufficiently explored. The following discussion is a preliminary attempt to broaden the prevailing view of the domestic atrium by focusing on a particular facet of its decoration.

The rôle of the atrium in the reception of guests in the élite household is perhaps the best known of its functions. The mechanics of the morning salutatio are not perfectly understood but it is clear that this daily event was set mainly in the atrium, a fact that renders the atrium the premier location in the house for displays of wealth, status, and taste.¹ Pliny the Elder's nostalgic descriptions of Republican élite houses, in which atrium and vestibulum were adorned with painted and wax ancestral imagines, portraits, genealogies, and military trophies, attest to the antiquity of the practice.² Much to Pliny's chagrin, the increased popularity of Greek art saw the eclipse of ancestral portrait galleries by the bronzes and marbles of famous Greek sculptors. Delivering a less personal and more generic message of affluence, these imagines pecuniae alarmed Pliny with their promotion of a taste for Greek objets d'art as status symbols over the celebration of distinguished careers in public service. Pliny's concern over this change is countered by other contemporary authors, who indicate that exhibitions of ancestral achievement in the atrium persisted, and that in Pliny's day the atrium was still an effective location for such displays.³ Yet Pliny's anxiety compels him to contrast in detail the atria of

* Frequently cited references:

Andersson, E. B. 1990	"Fountains and the Roman dwelling: Casa del Torello in Pompeii," JdI 105, 207-36
Baldassarre, I. et al.	Pompei - pitture e mosaici I, II (1990), III (1991), IV (1993), V (1994), VI (1996) (Milan)
Clarke, J. R. 1991	The houses of Roman Italy, 100 B.CA.D. 250. Ritual, space, and decoration (Berkeley)
Döhl, H-Zanker, P. 1984	"La scultura," in F. Zevi (ed.), Pompei 79. Raccolta di studi per il decimonono centenario
	dell'eruzione vesuviana (Naples) 177-210

Dwyer, E. 1982 Pompeian domestic sculpture (Archaeologica 28, Rome)

Fiorelli, G. 1875 Descrizioni di Pompei (Naples)

Förtsch, R. 1993 Archäologischer Kommentar zu den Villenbriefen des jüngeren Plinius (Mainz)
Fröhlich, T. 1991 Lararien- und Fassadenbilder in den Vesuvstädten: Untersuchungen zur 'volkstüm-

lichen' pompejanischen Malerei (RömMitt Erg. 32)

Jashemski, W. 1979 (vol. I.), 1993 (vol. II) The gardens of Pompeii (New Rochelle)

Maiuri, A. 1958 Ercolano: I nuovi scavi (1927-1958) (Rome)

Neudecker, R. 1988 Die Skulpturenausstattung römischer Villen in Italien (Mainz)
Seiler, F. 1992 Casa degli Amorini dorati (VI.xvi, 7.38) (Häuser in Pompeji 5, Munich)

Wallace-Hadrill, A. 1994 Houses and society in Pompeii and Herculaneum (Princeton)

Zanker, P. 1979 "Die Villa als Vorbild des späten pompejanischen Wohngeschmacks," JdI 94 460-523.

- T. P. Wiseman, "Conspicui postes tectaque digna deo: the public image of aristocratic and imperial houses in the late Republic and early Empire," in L'urbs: espace urbain et histoire (CollEFR 98, 1987) 393-413; F. Coarelli, "La casa dell'aristocrazia romana secondo Vitruvio," in H. Geertman, W. de Jong (edd.), Munus non ingratum (BABesch suppl. 2, 1989) 178-87.
- NH 34.17, in which Pliny explicitly identifies the display of portraits in the atrium as a popular way for clients to honour their patrons; see also 35.6-8. The message of achievement sent by military trophies became attached to the house itself, withstanding even changes in ownership (Wiseman ibid., 393-96) For wax ancestor-masks, see H. I. Flower, Ancestor masks and aristocratic power in Roman culture (Oxford 1996).
- E.g., Sen., De Ben. 3.28.2; Ep. 44.5; Mart. 2.90.5-8; 5.20.5; 4.40.1-4; Juv., Sat. 8.19-20; Suet., Galba 2. The display of *imagines maiorum* in the atrium was a popular topos, suggesting that the practice was still familiar enough in the early Empire to have some rhetorical force.