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For over four centuries the Lower Rhineland formed part of the northern border of the Roman empire. Throughout this period the Roman administration was faced with the possibility of a potential belligerent threat to the province of Germania Inferior from Germanic tribes east of the river. Consequently, there was a permanent need for stationing considerable numbers of soldiers along the Rhine. Over time, this resulted in large numbers of veterans being discharged, many of whom must have settled in the region.¹ I intend to deal with the archaeological and epigraphical evidence for their settlement in the civitas Traianensis. The evidence differs from that available in the adjacent Batavian area to the north.

The modern border in the Lower Rhineland between the Netherlands and Germany is an open one that is often (apart from a change in road-signs) hardly discernible. In archaeological research, however, there is a severe disparity between the situation on either side of the border. An example is seen in the case of seal-boxes, which have been collated in order to argue their relevance for the presence of veteran settlement.² Unlike the Batavian territory, from which T. Derks and N. Roymans cited 271 seal-boxes, the known number of seal-boxes in the corresponding area on the German side remains negligible. Apart from those mapped by them from the more Romanised centres of Kalkar-Altkalkar (Burginatium), Xanten (Colonia Ulpia Traiana and Vetera) and Moers-Aseburg (Asseburgium), I know of only two new finds from a mid-to late Roman settlement, adjacent to an old arm of the Rhine, at Alpen-Driët south of Xanten.³ This strong discrepancy can hardly reflect the actual situation in Roman times.

The salient differences leading to this discrepancy have already been noted by Derks and Roymans:¹ the mostly poor state of small bronzes in the Germanic sector of the Lower Rhineland, mainly attributable to the acidic sandy soils compounded by phosphate fertilizers, when contrasted with the anaerobic clays of the Batavian area in which metal objects find considerable protection against disintegration; the more intensive use of private metal-detectors in concert with the official archaeological establishment in the Netherlands, when compared with a policy largely of non-tolerance on the German side; and more intensive modern settlement in the Netherlands. This last point seems to be the most pertinent for the general state of our knowledge. Whereas the Dutch side has been settled intensively in recent decades, resulting in a considerable increment of archaeological information, the German side has witnessed only partial new settlement. During the last three decades, in the German part west of the Rhine only one large rural cemetery has been excavated and published (Tönisvorst-Vorst) since the
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